

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Favorable reconsideration of this application in light of the following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-18, 20-24, 26, 28, and 30-31 are pending in the present amendment. Claims 19, 25, 27, and 29 are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer and Claims 21, 23, and 31 are amended by the present response. It is respectfully submitted that, no new matter is added.

In the outstanding Office Action, the drawings were objected to as including informalities; Claims 19, 25, 27, and 29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as anticipated by Hunter et al. (U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2003/0098828, herein Hunter); and Claims 1-18, 20-24, 26, 28, and 30-31 were allowed.

Initially, Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the indication of allowable subject matter in Claims 1-18, 20-24, 26, 28, and 30-31.


With regard to the objection to drawings for not showing “the first light emitting and second light emitting,” the cancellation of Claim 19 renders this objection moot. Accordingly, the objection to the drawings should be withdrawn.

With regard to the rejection to Claims 19, 25, 27, and 29 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as anticipated by Hunter, Claims 19, 25, 27, and 29 are canceled. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that this rejection is overcome.

Consequently, in light of the above discussion the present application is believed to be in condition for allowance. An early and favorable action to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Eckhard H. Kuesters
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 28,870

Customer Number
22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
(OSMMN 08/07)

Joseph E. Wrkich
Registration No. 53,796